# () onteon

# Performance test report Onteon vs Kubernetes





## Introduction

Containerization became a norm in modern application environments. To manage containers orchestration tools were developed. And Kubernetes became a standard tool.

In many projects the goals and deliverables are not directly bounded to containers and orchestration, but people think about using containers and Kubernetes by default.

There is always more than one way to achieve the goal.

And Onteon was born. A technology stack to develop, deploy and manage applications, containerized or native ones. It simplifies the architecture and management tasks, which results in lower costs of deployments and maintenance.

In many cases in may also improve performance.

Below you will find the results of the tests conducted recently, where we compared performance of distributed application in Onteon vs Kubernetes environment.



## Summary

On April 20th and 24th 2022 the tests of Onteon and Kubernetes were conducted.

Tests were to calculate response time with the use of sample application and calculate number of requests in one of the tests.

Overall Onteon processed 69% more requests than Kubernetes in the same time, with much lower response time.

On average Onteon processed 68 452 requests per one vCPU, while Kubernetes 40 349. It also means that to process the same number of requests in the given period as Onteon, Kubernetes would need 3 vCPU more.

Onteon in this test used about 11% more energy. However while processing 69% more requests, it was more efficient, about 35% percent less power usage per single request.





## Summary

In second test with similar number of requests Onteon had 73% lower response time than Kubernetes.

It achieved this with about 9% lower power usage than Kubernetes.



#### **Test 1 – HTTP – max requests**

100 users were sending requests to application. Each user waited for the response from his request and sent another request after the response. It repeated for 10 minutes.

The response time was measured for 50th, 75th, 95th and 99th centile, as well as mean values.

Also the overall number of processed requests was measured.

Response time in ms					
	Kubernetes&Istio	Onteon			
50th	377	302			
75th	524	328			
95th	763	380			
99th	964	473			
mean	404	308			
st dev	197	57			
max time	1864	1627			

Overall Onteon had greater performance. All response times were lower. At 99th centile Onteon had 49% lower response time than Kubernetes.

Mean response time for Onteon was 41% lower than Kubernetes with much lower standard deviation. Maximum response time was 50% lower for Onteon.

In this test during 10 minutes Onteon processed 273 809 requests while Kubernetes 161 397. It means Onteon processed 70% more requests in the same period.

To process 70% more requests Onteon used only 11% more energy.

#### **Test 2 – HTTP – flat number of requests**

200 users were sending requests to application and tried to reach and maintain the limit of 200 requests per second. It repeated for 10 minutes.

The response time was measured for 50th, 75th, 95th and 99th centile, as well as mean values.

Response time in ms					
	Kubernetes&Istio	Onteon			
50th	52	23			
75th	93	27			
95th	230	49			
99th	430	117			
mean	81	28			
st dev	85	26			
max time	1614	598			

Overall Onteon had greater performance. All response times were lower. At 99th centile Onteon had 73% lower response time than Kubernetes.

Mean response time for Onteon was three times lower than Kubernetes with 62% lower standard deviation. Maximum response time was 30% lower for Onteon.

Above Onteon results were achieved with 10% less power usage than Kubernetes.

In the test period of 10 minutes Kubernetes processed 119 994 and Onteon processed 119 993 requests.

#### Test 1 – HTTPS – max requests

100 users were sending requests to application. Each user waited for the response from his request and sent another request after the response. It repeated for 10 minutes.

The response time was measured for 50th, 75th, 95th and 99th centile, as well as mean values.

Also the overall number of processed requests was measured.

Response time in ms				
	Kubernetes&Istio Onteon			
50th	343	209		
75th	490	265		
95th	717	365		
99th	893	456		
mean	372	219		
st dev	188	81		
max time	1621	810		

Overall Onteon had greater performance. All response times were lower. At 99th centile Onteon had 51% lower response time than Kubernetes.

Mean response time for Onteon was 24% lower than Kubernetes with much lower standard deviation. Maximum response time was 13% lower for Onteon.

In this test during 10 minutes Onteon processed 194 903 requests while Kubernetes 148 482. It means Onteon processed 31% more requests in the same period.

### Test 2 – HTTPS – flat number of requests

200 users were sending requests to application and tried to reach and maintain the limit of 200 requests per second. It repeated for 10 minutes.

The response time was measured for 50th, 75th, 95th and 99th centile, as well as mean values.

Response time in ms				
	Kubernetes&Istio Onteon			
50th	24	8		
75th	30	9		
95th	66	16		
99th	118	32		
mean	30	10		
st dev	21	8		
max time	488	342		

Overall Onteon had greater performance. All response times were lower. At 99th centile Onteon had 73% lower response time than Kubernetes.

Mean response time for Onteon was 65% lower than Kubernetes with 69% lower standard deviation. Maximum response time was 63% lower for Onteon.

In the test period of 10 minutes Kubernetes processed 119 980 and Onteon processed 119 991 requests.

## **Test environment**

Test architecture consisted of:

- one Master Node and two Worker Nodes (Kubernetes)
- one Onteon Control Center and two Node Managers (Onteon)

Master Node/Onteon Control Center – 8GB, 4 vCPU Node 1 and Node 2 – 4GB, 2 vCPU each node

Because communication among application microservices was tested, for Kubernetes Istio was used. In Onteon case the built-in communication services were used.

Versions used during the test: OS: Ubuntu 20.04.3 LTS Kubernetes: 1.23 Istio: 1.13.3 Onteon: 1.2.0

Before any test run there was 10 minute warmup of the environment. Each test lasted 10 minutes.

#### Test 1 – HTTP – max requests – Onteon



#### Test 1 – HTTP – max requests - Kubernetes



#### 2022-04-20 15:08:44 +02:00, duration : 600 seconds Rps Test - test, 10 min warmup, 10 min test, 200 users, 200 rps > Global Information Indicators Number of equests 150k 125k 100 Number of Requests 100k 75k 50k 25k KO OK 0k t < 800 ms 800 ms < t < t > 1200 ms failed 1200 ms **O** Executions ⊙ Response Time (ms) **Requests**<sup>4</sup> 50th 75th 95th 99th Std Total 🕈 ок≎ ко • Cnt/s + Min 🕈 Max 🕈 Mean 🕈 ко 🕯 pct 🕈 pct 🕈 Dev ‡ pct 🕈 pct \* Global Information request **Response Time Distribution** 60 ок 📕 ко 50 Percentage of Requests 40 30 20 10 0 74 141 209 276

#### Test 2 – HTTP – flat number of requests - Onteon

#### 2022-04-20 16:08:27 +02:00, duration : 600 seconds Rps Test - test, 10 min warmup, 10 min test, 200 users, 200 rps. > Global Information Indicators Number of requests 150k 125k Number of Requests 10 100k 75k 50k 25k КО 🔳 ОК 0k t < 800 ms 800 ms < t < t > 1200 ms failed 1200 ms Expand all groups | Collapse all groups **O** Executions ⊙ Response Time (ms) **Requests** \* 75th 50th 95th 99th Std Total 🕈 ок 🕯 KO 🕈 Min \* Cnt/s \* Max 🕈 Mean ‡ кo÷ pct \$ pct 🕈 Dev 🕈 pct 🕈 pct + Global 119994 199.99 Information request Response Time Distribution 40 ОК ко Percentage of Requests 30 20 10 0 110 205 300 395 14

#### Test 2 – HTTP – flat number of requests - Kubernetes

#### Test 1 – HTTPS – max requests – Onteon



#### Test 1 – HTTPS – max requests – Kubernetes



#### 2022-04-24 17:26:39 +02:00, duration : 600 seconds Rps Test - test, 10 min warmup, 10 min test, 200 users, 200 rps > Global Information Indicators Number of requests 150k 125k Number of Requests 10 100k 75k 50k 25k KO 🔳 ОК 0k 800 ms < t < t < 800 ms t > 1200 ms failed 1200 ms **O** Executions ⊙ Response Time (ms) **Requests** \* 50th 75th 95th 99th Std ok ÷ ко÷ Min \$ Total + Max \$ Cnt/s ‡ Mean **‡** ко 🕯 pct 🕈 pct 🕈 pct 🕈 pct 🕈 Dev **‡** Global Information request 0% 199.985 Response Time Distribution 60 🗖 ок 📕 ко 50 Percentage of Requests 40 30 20 10 0 132 249 484 367 15

#### Test 2 – HTTPS – flat number of requests - Onteon

#### 2022-04-26 15:24:32 +02:00, duration : 600 seconds Rps Test - test, 10 min warmup, 10 min test, 200 users, 200 rp > Global Information Indicators Number of equests 150k 125k 100 Number of Requests 100k 75k 50k 25k KO OK 0k t < 800 ms 800 ms < t < t > 1200 ms failed 1200 ms Expand all groups | Collapse all groups **O** Executions ⊙ Response Time (ms) **Requests**\* 50th 75th 95th 99th Std Total **‡** OK \$ KO \$ Cnt/s ‡ Min ‡ Max 🕈 Mean **‡** KO \$ pct 🕈 pct 🕈 pct 🕈 pct 🕈 Dev 🕈 Global 0% Information request 119980 119980 0% 199.967 Response Time Distribution 40 🔳 ОК 📕 КО Percentage of Requests 30 20 10 0 21 341 661 982 1302

#### Test 2 – HTTPS – flat number of requests - Kubernetes

## Test 1 – power use

Onteon		Kubernetes					
Nod	e 1	Nod	le 2	Node 1 Node 2		le 2	
Test time	Watts	Test time	Watts	Test time	Watts	Test time	Watts
0	79,5	0	79,4	0	24,95	0	71,6
10	78,6	10	73,85	10	63,75	10	71,6
20	78,6	20	73,85	20	63,75	20	77,1
30	79,25	31	79,7	31	72,95	30	77,1
41	79,25	41	79,7	41	72,95	40	85,8
51	79,7	51	79,45	51	70,55	50	85,8
61	79,7	61	79,45	61	70,55	60	74,4
71	79,65	71	79,25	71	79,55	70	74,4
81	80,1	82	79,25	81	/9,55	80	77,2
91	80,1	92	79,00	91	74,0	90	72.65
102	64,43	102	/9,00	102	74,0	100	72,00
112	92.6	112	63,33 92,35	112	73,05	111	72,03
122	83,0	123	93,55 97.4	122	74.6	121	73,7
142	83,3	143	82.4	142	74,6	141	73,1
153	83,3	153	83.85	152	77.25	151	73.1
163	85,6	163	83,85	162	77.25	161	74.5
173	85.6	174	84.55	172	73.05	171	74,5
183	83.75	184	84.55	183	73.05	181	72.7
194	83,75	194	82.4	193	72.3	191	72.7
204	80.7	204	82.4	203	72.3	201	71.9
214	80.7	215	82.15	213	71.25	211	71.9
224	80,45	225	82,15	223	71,25	221	71,05
235	80,45	235	80,1	233	71,05	232	71,05
245	79,8	245	80,1	243	71,05	242	70,8
255	79,8	256	79,7	254	70,65	252	70,8
265	79,35	266	79,7	264	70,65	262	70,75
276	79,35	276	79,25	274	70,75	272	70,75
286	79,45	286	79,25	284	70,75	282	70,45
296	79,45	297	79,45	294	70,55	292	70,45
306	79,65	307	79,45	304	70,6	302	70,7
317	79,65	317	79,8	315	70,6	312	70,7
327	79,6	327	79,8	325	70,45	322	70,4
337	79.6	338	79.7	335	70.45	332	70.4
347	80,4	348	79,7	345	70,35	342	70,6
358	80,4	358	79,95	355	70,35	353	70,6
368	79,4	368	79,95	365	72,05	363	70,95
3/8	/9,4	3/9	/9,4	3/5	72,05	3/3	/0,95
388	80,1	389	/9,4	385	71,05	383	71,5
398	80,1	399	80	390	/1,05	393	/1,5
408	79,3	409	70.6	406	71,25	403	71,75
419	79,3	420	79,0	410	71,25	413	71,75
429	79,60	430	79,0	426	70,55	423	70,85
439	79,55	450	80.7	430	70.3	433	70,85
459	79,55	450	80,7	456	70.3	453	70,25
470	79.85	471	79.4	450	70.9	464	69.25
480	79.85	481	79.4	477	70,9	474	69,25
490	80,9	491	81,4	487	70,55	484	70,6
500	80,9	501	81,4	497	70,55	494	70,6
511	82,55	511	79,4	507	70	504	70,3
521	82,55	522	79,4	517	70	514	73,8
531	75,6	532	74,8	527	72,3	524	73,8
541	75,6	542	74,8	537	72,3	534	71,75
552	73,6	552	72,4	548	71,6	544	71,75
562	73,6	563	72,4	558	71,6	554	71,2
572	72,9	573	72,3	568	71,15	564	71,2
583	72,9	583	72,3	578	71,15	574	71,25
593	71,7	593	74	588	71,35	585	71,25
604	71,7	604	74	598	71,35	595	71,4

## Test 2 – power use

Onteon		Kubernetes					
Nod	le 1	Nod	le 2	Node 1 Node 2		le 2	
Test time	Watts	Test time	Watts	Test time	Watts	Test time	Watts
0	57,8	0	66,35	0	65,2	0	65,95
10	57,8	10	66,35	10	65,2	10	64,2
20	100,95	20	66,9	20	74,3	20	64,2
31	100,95	31	66,9	31	74,3	30	75,35
41	66,35	41	65,35	41	71,2	40	75,35
51	66,35	51	65,35	51	/1,2	50	70,15
61	65,2	61	64,25	61	68,2	61	70,15
/1	65,2	/1	64,25	/1	68,2	/1	68,05
81	62,75	81	62,4	81	67,95	81	68,05
92	62,75	92 103	60.05	101	CC,10 CC 95	31	00,1 69.1
112	61,65	112	60,95	111	20,00	101	66,0
122	61,05	112	60,95	122	66 15	121	0,00 6 6 6
132	61,15	132	60,95	132	66 15	121	65.9
142	60 65	132	60,55	142	65.8	141	65,9
152	60,65	153	60,5	152	65.8	151	65,5
163	60,65	163	60,5	162	65.85	161	65.7
173	60,65	173	60,5	172	65 85	172	65.6
183	60.35	183	60.35	182	65.65	182	65.6
193	60.35	193	60.35	192	65,65	192	66.3
203	60.7	203	60,65	202	66,05	202	66.3
213	60.7	214	60,65	212	66,05	212	65,9
224	60,8	224	60,75	223	65,5	222	65,9
234	60,8	234	60,75	233	65,5	232	66,15
244	60,7	244	60,85	243	65,95	242	66,15
254	60,7	254	60,85	253	65,95	252	65,55
264	60,4	264	60,6	263	65,6	262	65,55
274	60,4	275	60,6	273	65,6	272	65,85
284	61,15	285	60,7	283	65,8	282	65,85
295	61.15	295	60.7	293	65.8	293	65.75
315	60,7	315	60,5	314	65,15	313	65,85
325	61,05	325	60,9	324	66	323	65,85
335	61,05	336	60,9	334	66	333	65,7
346	61,25	346	60,7	344	65,9	343	65,7
356	61,25	356	60,7	354	65,9	353	73,8
366	60,55	366	60,45	364	74,15	363	73,8
3/6	60,55	3/6	60,45	3/4	/4,15	3/3	/6,5
386	60,65	386	60,65	385	/1,9	383	/6,5
390	60,00	397	60,00	395	/1,9 67.45	393	00,00
407	61.05	407	60,3	400	67.15	403	C0,00 C0,00
417	61,05	417	60,3	415	60 1	414	66.3
427	60.8	421	60,9	425	69.1	424	69.65
457	60.8	447	60 65	445	67.9	454	69.65
457	60,6	457	60,65	455	67.9	454	66.55
468	60,6	468	60,55	465	67,65	464	66,55
478	60,5	478	60,55	476	67,65	474	67,85
488	60,5	488	60,25	486	67,15	484	67,85
498	60,55	498	60,25	496	67,15	494	68,8
508	60,55	508	60,55	506	67,5	504	68,8
518	61,9	518	60,55	516	67,5	514	66,65
529	61,9	529	61,05	526	66,6	524	66,65
539	60,85	539	61,05	536	66,6	535	66,1
549	60,85	549	60,8	546	66,4	545	66,1
559	60,9	559	60,8	557	66,4	555	67,2
569	60,9	569	60,9	567	68,3	565	67,2
579	60,7	579	60,9	577	68,3	575	67,15
590	60,7	590	60,4	587	66,5	585	67,15
600	61,3	600	60,4	597	67,85	595	66,25
610	61.3	610	61	607	67.85	605	66.25